1	Judge Robert S. Lasnik		
2	FILED FARTON		
3	LODGED - RECEIVED		
4	MAR 1 8 2004		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) NO. CR03-0370RSL		
10	Plaintiff,		
11	v. ) PLEA AGREEMENT		
12	JOHN H. WHITE,		
13	Defendant.		
14	<del></del> ,		
15	The United States of America, by and through John McKay, United States		
16	Attorney for the Western District of Washington, Kurt P. Hermanns and Floyd G. Short,		
17	Assistant United States Attorneys for said District, and the defendant, JOHN H. WHITE,		
18	and his attorney, Todd Maybrown, enter into the following Agreement, pursuant to		
19	Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c):		
20	1. <u>The Charge</u> . Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this		

- 1. The Charge. Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the following charge contained in the Indictment. By entering this plea of guilty, Defendant hereby waives all objections to the form of the charging document.
- a. Conspiracy, as charged in Count 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.
- 2. <u>Elements of the Offense</u>. The elements of the offense of conspiracy, as charged in Count 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, are as follows:



23

24

25

26

27

First, that the defendant did knowingly conspire, combine, confederate, and agree together with others to commit offenses against the United States;

Second, that the defendant knew the unlawful purpose of the agreement and joined in it willfully, that is, with the intent to further the unlawful purpose; and

Third, that one of the conspirators during the existence of the conspiracy knowingly committed at least one of the overt acts described in the indictment, in order to accomplish some object or purpose of the conspiracy.

- 3. <u>The Penalties</u>. Defendant understands that the statutory penalties for the offense of conspiracy, as charged in Count 1, are as follows:
- a. <u>Count 1 (Conspiracy</u>): imprisonment for up to five (5) years, a fine of up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00), a period of supervision following release from prison of at least two (2) but not more than three (3) years, and a one hundred dollar (\$100.00) penalty assessment. The defendant agrees that the penalty assessment shall be paid at or before the time of sentencing.

Defendant agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs or restitution, is due and payable immediately, and further agrees to submit a completed Financial Statement of Debtor form as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.

Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which he will be subject to certain restrictions and requirements. Defendant further understands that if supervised release is imposed and he violates one or more of its conditions, he could be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release that was originally imposed. This could result in Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

- 4. <u>Rights Waived by Pleading Guilty</u>. Defendant represents to the Court that he is satisfied with the representation provided by his attorney. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, he knowingly and voluntarily waives the following rights:
  - a. The right to plead not guilty, and to persist in a plea of not guilty;

1	ხ.	The right to a speedy and public trial before a jury of Defendant's	
2	pcers;		
3	c.	The right to the effective assistance of counsel at trial, including, if	
4	Defendant could not afford an attorney, the right to have the Court appoint one for		
5	Defendant;		
6	d.	The right to be presumed innocent until guilt has been established at	
7	trial, beyond a reasonable doubt;		
8	e.	The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against	
9	Defendant;		
10	f.	The right to compel or subpoena witnesses to appear on Defendant's	
11	behalf;		
12	g,	The right to testify or to remain silent at trial, which such silence	
13	could not be used against Defendant; and		
14	h.	The right to appeal a finding of guilt or any pretrial rulings.	
15	5. <u>Apr</u>	licability of Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant understands and	
16	acknowledges the following:		
17	a.	The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the	
18	United States Sentencing Commission, are applicable to this case;		
19	ъ.	The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing	
20	Guidelines range at the time of sentencing;		
21	c.	The Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, including a	
22	sentence that, und	er some circumstances, departs from any applicable Sentencing	
23	Guidelines range	up to the maximum term authorized by law;	
24	d.	The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the	
25	sentence to be imposed, or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing Guidelines		
26	range offered by the parties, or by the United States Probation Department; and		
27	c.	Defendant may not withdraw a guilty plea solely because of the	
28	sentence imposed	by the Court.	

- 6. <u>Ultimate Sentence</u>. Defendant acknowledges that no one has promised or guaranteed what sentence the Court will impose.
- 7. Restitution. Defendant shall make restitution in an amount set by the court. Said amount shall be due and payable immediately and shall be paid in accordance with a schedule of payments as set by the United States Probation Office and ordered by the Court.
- 8. Statement of Facts. The parties agree on the following facts in support of Defendant's guilty plea and for purposes of calculating the base offense level of the Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant admits he is guilty of the charged offense.
- a. At all relevant times JOHN WHITE was an employee, share-holder and vice-president of Signal Mortgage, Inc., of Everett, Washington. In that capacity he acted as a loan broker, assisting individuals in obtaining financing primarily for residential properties.
- b. Beginning in approximately August 1999, Terry R. Martin requested Mr. WHITE's assistance with a commercial construction project, called the Silver Sound Corporate Center, located near Painc Field, Everett, Washington. Mr. Martin sought financing for the project. Mr. WHITE understood from Martin and others that there would be a municipal bond offering by the Holmes Harbor Sewer District ("the District"), in the approximate amount of \$20,000,000, to fund the public infrastructure and utilities for the project. Mr. WHITE understood further that Martin intended to build a 500,000 square foot corporate center for which he sought construction financing from Mr. WHITE.
- c. Between about August 1999 and about July 2000, at Mr. Martin's direction, Mr. WHITE wrote a series of letters on Signal Mortgage letterhead, confirming and committing as much as \$63,000,000 in loan funds for construction and credit support for Mr. Martin's Silver Sound Corporate Center project. Those letters were false and fraudulent. Neither Signal Mortgage, nor any prospective lender Mr. WHITE contacted, committed to lending Mr. Martin funds. Through numerous conversations, Mr. Martin

was aware that no such financing existed. Nevertheless, Mr. Martin directed and partially authored the false letters. The letters were mailed, using the U. S. Postal Service, and were faxed by wire communication in interstate commerce.

- d. Between approximately December 1999 and February 2000, Mr. WHITE assisted Martin in obtaining an appraisal for the Silver Sound Corporate Center project. When the appraisal was issued, Martin stated that the "as is" value of the land on which the project was to be constructed needed to be eliminated from the appraisal. The effect of eliminating this information from the appraisal was to conceal Martin's purchase price for the land from the broker-dealers, investors and the District. Thereafter, Mr. WHITE and Mr. Martin arranged for a new appraisal to be issued, which omitted the "as is" value. That appraisal eventually became a document relied upon by broker-dealers, municipal bond investors and the District.
- e. In approximately April 2000, Mr. WHITE signed and executed a construction loan agreement, with Signal Mortgage as the lender, in the amount of \$63,000,000 for construction of Mr. Martin's project. Mr. WHITE simultaneously executed an additional \$20,500,000 construction loan agreement, also with Signal Mortgage as the lender, which guaranteed repayment of the municipal bonds in the event of default. Both agreements were false and fraudulent. No loan funds existed. Signal Mortgage, the ostensible lender and employer of Mr. WHITE, was unaware of the project and the agreements, and had no ability to fund such loans. Mr. WHITE kept the details of his dealings with Mr. Martin, including the referenced loan commitments, secret from Signal Mortgage.
- f. In approximately June of 2000, Mr. WHITE attended a meeting with Terry Martin and others where the Silver Sound project was explained to investors considering purchasing the municipal bonds. During that meeting, Mr. WHITE affirmed the existence of a binding loan commitment for construction and a binding loan commitment guaranteeing repayment of the municipal bonds. This affirmation was false and fraudulent. Mr. WHITE knew there were no loan commitments.

- h. In approximately October 2000, Mr. WHITE agreed to act as the president of Goldman Sig, Inc., a Washington corporation. The ostensible purpose of Goldman Sig was to act as the lender for Mr. Martin's project, and to take the place of Signal Mortgage as the previous lender.
- i. On or about October 25, 2000, John WHITE signed two new loan agreements on behalf of Goldman Sig, Inc. These loan agreements replaced the previous agreements identifying Signal Mortgage as the lender. One was a construction loan agreement committing \$43 million for building the Silver Sound Corporate Center. The ostensible source of funds for this loan, according to information WHITE received from Edward Tezak and Mr. Martin, was an individual client of Tezak's at Goldman Sachs. Mr. WHITE knew the premise of this loan was fraudulent because Mr. Martin had no intention to build the buildings and no intention to borrow these funds. Mr. WHITE thus

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- j. On or about October 25, 2000, Mr. WHITE attended the closing of the municipal bond issuance by the Holmes Harbor Sewer District. Mr. WHITE knew that various of the bond documents, the Holmes Harbor Sewer District, and the bond purchasers relied upon the ability of Goldman Sig, Inc., to provide \$43,000,000 in construction financing for the building of the Silver Sound Corporate Center. Mr. WHITE knew those representations were false in that Mr. Martin did not intend to build the corporate center. Mr. WHITE also had reason to know from his dealings with Martin that the purported \$20 million line of credit guaranteeing the bonds, also from Goldman Sig as the lender, was false and fraudulent.
- k. Between approximately December 2000 and June 2001, after closing of the municipal bond issuance, Mr. WHITE attended meetings and participated in conversations where he continued to affirm the availability of funding for Mr. Martin's projects. Those statements were false.
- 1. Mr. WHITE's actions as described above were taken knowingly, deliberately and with intent to defraud. He knowingly conspired and agreed with others to commit the offense of securities fraud and wire fraud.
  - m. The above acts occurred within the Western District of Washington.
- 9. Non-Prosecution of Additional Offenses. As part of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute defendant for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Agreement that are based upon evidence in its possession at this time, and that relate to the conduct described in the Plea Agreement. In this regard, defendant recognizes that

the United States has agreed not to prosecute all of the criminal charges that the evidence establishes were committed by defendant solely because of the promises made by defendant in this Agreement. Defendant acknowledges and agrees, however, that for purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide the United States Probation Office with evidence of all relevant conduct committed by defendant. At the time of sentencing in this matter, the government agrees to dismiss counts 2 through 20 of the indictment.

- 10. <u>Voluntariness of Plea</u>. Defendant acknowledges that he has entered into this Plea Agreement freely and voluntarily, and that no threats or promises, other than the promises contained in this Plea Agreement, were made to induce defendant to enter this plea of guilty.
- 11. Statute of Limitations. In the event that this Agreement is not accepted by the Court for any reason, or defendant has breached any of the terms of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of the Plea Agreement to: (1) 30 days following the date of non-acceptance of the Plea Agreement by the Court; or (2) 30 days following the date on which a breach of the Plea Agreement by defendant is discovered by the United States Attorney's Office.
- Agreement apply only to conduct that occurred prior to the execution of this Agreement. If, after the date of this Agreement, defendant should engage in conduct that would warrant an increase in defendant's adjusted offense level or justify an upward departure under the Sentencing Guidelines (examples of which include, but are not limited to: obstruction of justice, failure to appear for a court proceeding, criminal conduct while pending sentencing, and false statements to law enforcement agents, the probation officer or Court), the United States is free under this Agreement to seek a sentencing enhancement or upward departure based on that conduct.
- 13. <u>Cooperation</u>. Defendant shall cooperate completely and truthfully with law enforcement authorities in the investigation and prosecution of other individuals involved

in criminal activity. Such cooperation shall include, but not be limited to, complete and truthful statements to law enforcement officers, as well as complete and truthful testimony, if called as a witness before a grand jury, or at any state or federal trial, retrial, or other judicial proceedings. Defendant acknowledges that this obligation to cooperate shall continue after Defendant has entered a guilty plea and sentence has been imposed, no matter what sentence Defendant receives; Defendant's failure to do so may constitute a breach of this Plea Agreement.

Defendant understands that the United States will tolerate no deception from him. If, in the estimation of the United States Attorney, information or testimony provided from the date of the Plca Agreement, proves to be untruthful or incomplete in any way, regardless of whether the untruthfulness helps or hurts the United States' case, the United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington may consider that Defendant has breached this Plea Agreement.

The United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington, in turn, agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any other offenses, other than crimes of violence, that Defendant may have committed in the Western District of Washington prior to the date of this Agreement about which: (1) the United States presently possesses information; or (2) Defendant provides information pursuant to this Agreement to cooperate with the authorities.

The parties agree that information provided by Defendant in connection with this Plea Agreement shall not be used to determine Defendant's sentence, except to the extent permitted by USSG § 1B1.8.

In exchange for Defendant's cooperation, as described above, and conditioned upon Defendant's fulfillment of all conditions of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney agrees to consider filing a motion, pursuant to USSG § 5K1.1 permitting the Court to sentence Defendant to less than the otherwise applicable Sentencing Guideline range and below the mandatory minimum sentence.

Defendant agrees that his sentencing date may be delayed based on the United